



Mitigatory role of phosphorus on radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) exposed to salt stress

Indu Chaudhary* and Yogesh Kumar Sharma

Department of Botany, University of Lucknow, Lucknow- 226007, U.P. India

Received for publication: October 15, 2014; Accepted: November 27, 2014.

Abstract: The aim of the current study was to elucidate the response of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* cv. chetkilong) grown under saline conditions and amended with supplementary Phosphorus (P). A soil pot culture experiment was accomplished in wire house. Plants were subjected to different electrical conductivity (EC) solutions viz. 0, 4, 6, 8 and 10 dSm⁻¹ prepared by mixing NaCl, Na₂SO₄, CaCl₂ and MgCl₂. For remediation approach two salinity levels (EC 8 and 10 dSm⁻¹) were subjected with combination of 40ppm Phosphorus. The results uttered that salt stress hindered the plant growth such as fresh and dry weight and declined pigment contents like Chl T, Chl a and Chl b. Elevated level of malondialdehyde content and electrolyte leakage percentage in the leaves of plant exposed to salt solution suggested that salinity promoted the oxidative stress. Enzymatic and non enzymatic antioxidants also altered when plants were irrigated with saline solutions. The non-protein thiol group, proline and cysteine were found to gradually enhance at all salinity levels. Phosphorus application comparatively (salt treated plants) decreased the content of cysteine and NP-SH in the leaf of plant treated with salt +P as salinity level rose. The activity of catalase (CAT) evoked at lower doses (4 and 6 dSm⁻¹) then reduced at 8 and 10dSm⁻¹ while peroxidase (POX) aroused at all salinity levels but P amendment decreased its activity. In this study activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) was decreased at all tested salinity levels while P supply increased the activity. The outcomes designated that supplementary P can ameliorate the damaging influence of salinity on the development of radish plants.

Key words: Amino acids, Antioxidative enzymes, Membrane damage, NP-SH, Phosphorus, Salinity

Introduction

Plant growth and productivity is adversely affected by nature's wrath in the form of various abiotic stress factors (Shahid *et al.*, 2012). Plants are frequently exposed to a plethora of stress conditions such as salinity, drought, heat, flooding and heavy metal toxicity among others, where the various anthropogenic activities have accentuated the existing stress factors (Allakhverdiev *et al.*, 2000; Siringam *et al.*, 2012). Among these stresses, salinity is a serious problem in worldwide agriculture areas because it limits plant growth and productivity (Yildirim *et al.*, 2009; Qin *et al.*, 2010). A recent report of Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, India (CSSRI, 2010) estimates about 6.73 million hectares of agricultural land as salt affected. In Uttar Pradesh alone about 1.3 million ha of land is under salt affected soils (Source: CSSRI). Salt condition under irrigation water affects physiological process negatively including water relations and gas exchange attributes (Maeda and Nakazawa, 2008), nutritional imbalance (Yang *et al.*, 2008), and disturbing

the stability of membranes (Dogan *et al.*, 2010). Salts induce the ionic and osmotic stress which alters the morpho-physiological and biochemical processes at tissue and cell level (Murphy and Durako, 2003). Excessive salts in soil solution lower the soil water potential as compared to the potential within plant and this difference in water potential between soil and plant prevents the root to absorb water (Lloyd *et al.*, 1989). This hindrance in the absorption of available water under saline condition causes the cell dehydration which ultimately leads to cell death. An excessive amount of toxic ions (Na⁺ and Cl⁻) in plant tissues unstable the cellular membranes by displacing the K⁺ and Ca²⁺ (Grattan and Grieve, 1992) and affect their permeability. Salinity can also reduce photosynthetic activity by affecting the non stomatal attributes such as destruction of green pigments, lowering the leaf area or by decreasing the activity of photosynthetic enzymes in calvin cycle (Misra *et al.*, 1997). The photosynthetic activity declination by salt stress is associated with an increase in

*Corresponding Author:

Indu Chaudhary,
Research Scholar,
Department of Botany,
University of Lucknow,
Lucknow, 226007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

reactive oxygen species (ROS). These ROS are highly reactive and may disrupt growth physiology through oxidative damage to protein, nucleic acids, and lipids (Namjooyan *et al.*, 2012). Salt stress can break down chlorophyll (Chl), the effect ascribed to increased level of the toxic cation, Na^+ (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2008, Li *et al.*, 2010, Yang *et al.*, 2011). To alleviate the stress induced oxidative effects, plants generate different kinds of antioxidants like superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POD) (Shahid *et al.*, 2011). Plants synthesize and accumulate organic compounds in the cytosol and organelles for osmotic balance when they are exposed to salt stress (Ashraf and Harris 2004; Bartels and Sunkar 2005; Sairam *et al.*, 2006). Osmotic adjustment is the improvement in cell water balance due to the accumulation of inorganic and organic osmolytes such as proline, betaines and/or sugars. Phosphorus plays a role in an array of processes, including energy generation, nucleic acid synthesis, photosynthesis etc. Increases in NaCl dosage led to a decrease in P concentration in plant tissues, (Sharpley *et al.*, 1992), possibly due to a fall in the P availability in the soil (Grattan and Grieve, 1994). In agronomic terms P supply is important for new growth, flowering, fruiting and seed production. The principal objective of this study was to test whether the application of phosphorus could reduce salinity stress in radish. This study also aimed to investigate the results of the interactive effects of P and salinity on morphology, antioxidant systems, and amino acids in the leaves of radish plants.

Materials and Methods

Surface-sterilized (with 0.1% HgCl_2 solution for 5 min and washed thoroughly with distilled water) seeds were sown in earthen pots (320 cm^2) lined with polyethene bags and filled with a mixture of garden soil and farmyard manure (3:1). Twenty five day's old plants were supplied with various salinity levels (0, 4, 6, 8 and 10 dSm^{-1}). The two higher salinity levels i.e. 8 and 10 dSm^{-1} was subjected to combination of 40 ppm of P. Treatment was given weakly for fifteen days. The saline solution was made using a mixture of NaCl , Na_2SO_4 , MgCl_2 and CaCl_2 in the equimolar basis of various electrical conductivity levels. After fifteen days of treatment, plants were harvested and used for analyzing various morphological,

physiological and biochemical parameters. Chlorophyll was estimated by the method of Arnon (1949), amended by Lichtenthaler (1987). The level of lipid peroxidation in plant tissue was measured as thiobarbituric acid reaction by the method of Heath and Packer (1971) in terms of malondialdehyde content. Sullivan and Ross (1979) method was used for determination of electrolyte leakage percentage (ELP). CAT activity was assayed by the modified method of Euler and Josephson (1927). The POX activity was determined by the method of Luck (1963). The activity of SOD was assayed by the method of Beauchamp and Fridovich, 1971 by measuring its ability to inhibit the photochemical reduction of nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT). Non protein thiol group was estimated by the method of Ellman (1959). Cysteine content was estimated following the method of (Gaitonde, 1967). The determination of proline was performed according to the method of Bates *et al.*, 1973.

Statistical analysis

The experimental data were tested for significance by using least significant difference (LSD) to compare means of different treatments that have an equal number of replications. All statistical test were performed with analysis tool from Microsoft office excel 2007.

Results

Table 1 shows the changes in the morphological parameters in radish plants. As it is evident from the data, plant height showed a remarkable decrease with increasing salinity levels as compared to control. FW(fresh weight) of shoot and root were declined in all increasing salinity levels and P supply improved FW of plants treated with 8 and 10 dSm^{-1} saline solution by 160 and 400% in shoot and 83 and 50% in root respectively. Likewise DW (dry weight) of shoot and root was reduced at all salinity levels but P application increased DW of shoot and root by 75 and 58 % and 166 and 66% respectively. Number of leaf was also significantly ($P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$) decreased at all salinity levels. A decrease in the total chlorophyll content was found at all salinity levels except 4EC in radish leaves. At higher salinity level (10EC) it was declined by 25.2%. The total chlorophyll content significantly enhanced of about 38.8% and 33.8% at 8EC and 10EC with P application. The Chl a content in radish plant was

significantly ($P<0.01$) decreased with increasing salinity levels except 4EC. Contents of Chl a, for control was 1.36 which was reduced to 1.44, 0.96, 0.79 and 0.15 mg g⁻¹ tissue in the leaves treated with 4, 6, 8 and 10EC. But P application at two salinity levels (8EC and 10EC) increased the Chl a by 37.9 and 30.4 % respectively. Contents of Chl b, for control radish leaves was 0.83 mg g⁻¹ tissue which was reduced to 0.79, 0.74 and 0.63 at 6, 8 and 10EC except 4EC which was enhanced by 0.93 mg g⁻¹ tissue in the leaves. On rectification with P at 8 and 10EC, Chl b increases and value became 1.02 and 0.92 mg g⁻¹ respectively compared to control plants (table 2). The MDA content in radish plant was significantly ($P<0.01$) increased with increasing salt concentration. Contents of MDA, for control was 42.4 nmol g⁻¹ which increased to 50.2, 75.6, 90.6 and 104.7 nmol g⁻¹ tissue in the radish leaves treated with 4, 6, 8 and 10EC of saline solution. On rectification with Phosphorus at 8 and 10EC saline solution, MDA content was significantly ($P<0.01$) decreased by 7.39 % and 0.96%. The ELP in the radish leaves increased significantly ($P<0.05$ and $P<0.01$) by 17.9, 35, 43 and 52.6 % at 4, 6, 8 and 10EC. Phosphorus application at 8 and 10EC, it was decreased by 17.7 and 32.7% (table 2). The activity of CAT in radish leaves for control plants was 1090 which was increased by 4.89, 7.64% at 4 and 6EC then decreased significantly ($P<0.05$ and $P<0.01$) by 15.9

and 44.9% by 8 and 10EC. However, remediation with P showed significant ($P<0.01$) increase at 10EC in CAT activity by 33.3 %. (table 3). In radish leaves, activity of POX for control was 1.4 which was significantly ($p<0.05$ and $P<0.01$) enhanced by 229.5, 296.6, 390 and 474.5% for the plant exposed to 4, 6, 8, and 10. Application of P at 8EC, POX activity was significantly ($P<0.05$) increased by 21%. SOD activity was declined significantly ($P<0.01$) by 22.1, 33.8, 45.3 and 56.7% at 4, 6, 8 and 10EC respectively. But P application significantly increased the activity of SOD about 32.6 and 39% at 8 and 10EC. The NP-SH content significantly increased with increasing salinity levels except 4EC (table 4). Contents of NP-SH, for control was 0.36 mM g⁻¹ FW tissue which was increased to 0.42, 0.44, 0.48 and 0.52 mM g⁻¹ tissue in the leaves treated with 4, 6, 8 and 10EC. On rectification with P, NP-SH synthesis was found to decrease by 25 and 12.5% at 8 and 10EC. Cysteine content increased by 4.16, 12.5, 20.83 and 25% for 4, 6, 8 and 10EC. Phosphorus supply at 8 and 10 dSm⁻¹, cysteine content reduced by 22.2% and 33.3%. Proline content for control was 0.29 which was significantly ($P<0.05$ and $P<0.01$) enhanced to 86.2, 113.7, 142.5 and 166.6 % for the plant exposed to 4, 6, 8 and 10 dSm⁻¹. Application of P at 8 and 10 dSm⁻¹ significantly ($P<0.05$) decreased by 17 and 16.8%.

Table 1: Effect of different concentration of salt and its interaction with phosphorus on root and shoot length, fresh and dry weight of root and shoot of Radish plants observed at 40 days.

Treatments	Parameters						No. of leaf
	Root length (cm Plant ⁻¹)	Shoot length (cm Plant ⁻¹)	Root FW (g Plant ⁻¹)	Shoot FW (g Plant ⁻¹)	Root DW (g Plant ⁻¹)	Shoot DW (g Plant ⁻¹)	
0.0EC	10.7±0.54	17.8±0.59	0.26±0.02	4.4±0.40	0.08±0.01	0.38±0.01	6.3±0.27
4EC	9.0±0.47*	15.0±0.94*	0.23±0.01	3.4±0.64*	0.05±0.01	0.25±0.02	5.0±0.47
6EC	8.0±0.47**	11.0±0.47**	0.18±0.01	2.1±0.16**	0.04±0.01	0.18±0.01	4.3±0.27*
8EC	6.7±0.27**	8.7±0.72**	0.12±0.01	1.5±0.20**	0.03±0.01	0.16±0.02	3.7±0.27**
10EC	4.5±0.24**	6.2±0.72**	0.08±0.01*	0.6±0.08**	0.02±0.00*	0.12±0.02	3.3±0.27**
8EC+P	11.3±0.72	14.7±1.09*	0.22±0.02	3.9±0.33	0.08±0.01	0.28±0.02	7.0±0.47
10EC+P	8.3±0.54**	10.7±0.98**	0.12±0.01	3.0±0.41**	0.05±0.00	0.19±0.01	6.0±0.54
*	1.27	2.18	0.18	0.80	0.06	0.28	1.75
**	1.93	3.30	0.31	1.21	0.10	0.43	2.50

± Represent SE. *- value significant at $P<0.05$ and ** - value significant at $P<0.01$ levels.

Table 2: Effect of different concentration of salt and its interaction with phosphorus on total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, MDA and Electrolyte leakage percent in leaves of Radish plant observed at 40 days.

Treatments	Parameters				
	Total chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹ FW tissue)	Chlorophyll, a (mg g ⁻¹ FW tissue)	Chlorophyll, b (mg g ⁻¹ FW tissue)	MDA (nmol g ⁻¹ FW)	ELP
0.0EC	2.25±0.06	1.36±0.02	0.83±0.01	42.4±0.33	48.7±1.47
4EC	2.38±0.05	1.44±0.02	0.93±0.01	50.2±0.14**	57.5±2.06
6EC	2.00±0.04	0.96±0.26**	0.79±0.02	75.6±1.07**	65.8±3.04*
8EC	1.93±0.02	0.79±0.26**	0.74±0.02	90.6±1.05**	69.7±1.19*
10EC	1.80±0.02*	0.15±0.01**	0.63±0.01*	104.7±1.97**	74.3±1.19**
8EC+P	2.68±0.02*	2.47±0.36**	1.02±0.02*	83.9±1.42**	57.3±1.44
10EC+P	2.41±0.02	1.50±0.02	0.92±0.01	103.2±2.58**	50.0±2.36
*	0.35	0.24	0.14	3.21	16.2
**	0.53	0.37	0.21	4.86	25.3

± Represent SE. *- value significant at P<0.05 and ** - value significant at P<0.01 levels.

Table 3: Effect of different concentration of salt and its interaction with phosphorus on activity of catalase, peroxidase and superoxide dismutase in leaves of Radish plant observed at 40 days.

Treatments	Parameters		
	Catalase (H ₂ O ₂ decompose mg ⁻¹⁰⁰ FW tissue)	Peroxidase (activity mg ⁻¹⁰⁰ FW tissue)	SOD (activity mg ⁻¹⁰⁰ FW tissue)
0.0EC	1090±37.5	1.4±0.02	9.5±0.17
4EC	1143±22.3	4.6±0.53	7.4±0.17**
6EC	1173±21.8	5.6±0.24*	6.3±0.22**
8EC	917±49.1*	6.9±0.38**	5.2±0.15**
10EC	600±47.2**	8.0±0.09*	4.1±0.06**
8EC+P	1013±56.9	5.4±0.15*	6.9±0.05**
10EC+P	800±47.2**	5.0±0.47	5.7±0.13**
*	133.0	3.80	0.93
**	201.5	5.54	1.83

± Represent SE. *- value significant at P<0.05 and ** - value significant at P<0.01 levels.

Table 4: Effect of different concentration of salt and its interaction with phosphorus on activity of Proline, Cysteine and NP-SH in leaves of Radish plants observed at 40 days.

Treatments	Parameters		
	Proline (μ mol g ⁻¹ FW)	Cysteine (mM g ⁻¹ FW)	NP-SH (mM g ⁻¹⁰⁰ FW)
0.0EC	0.29±17.02	0.48±0.05	0.36±1.8
4EC	0.54±23.75**	0.50±0.12	0.42±1.20
6EC	0.62±54.70*	0.54±0.24	0.44±1.52*
8EC	0.70±44.86**	0.58±0.13	0.48±2.63**
10EC	0.77±56.90**	0.60±0.05	0.52±1.35**
8EC+P	0.58±51.77*	0.42±0.18	0.36±1.25
10EC+P	0.64±7.33*	0.40±0.05	0.42±0.78
*	0.23	0.29	0.07
**	0.38	0.49	0.11

± Represent SE. *- value significant at P<0.05 and ** - value significant at P<0.01 levels.

Discussion

Salinity stress may cause decreased plant growth (Ephron *et al.*, 1999). Reduction in shoot weight, plant height and root length under salt stress were also reported by Parida and Das, 2005. Salinity stress may induce damage to the photosynthetic apparatus (Yasseen, 1983). Reduction in photosynthetic pigments, such as Chl *a* and *b* has been reported in some earlier studies on different crops, *e.g.*, sunflower, *Heliantus annuus* (Akram and Ashraf, 2011). Salinity stress

leads to irreversible damage of the cell membrane (Mundree *et al.*, 2002). Increase in MDA contents under salt stress was also found in rice (Tijen and İsmail, 2005). Membrane injury due to salt stress may be related to increased production of ROS (Shalata *et al.*, 2001), and highly toxic ROS must be scavenged in order to maintain normal plant growth (Demiral and Turkan 2004). Plants detoxify the ROX species by maintaining the high activities of antioxidant

enzymes i.e. SOD, POD and CAT (Sekmen et al., 2012). Literature depicts that salt tolerance potential is highly linked with the maximum ratios of antioxidant enzymes (Shahid et al., 2011; Balal et al., 2012). Reduced activity of SOD suggested that plant was salt sensitive. Increased electrolyte leakage observed in the present study at salinity stress could be partly due to decreased chlorophyll concentration (Kaya et al., 2001). Electrolyte leakage from cells exposed to oxidative stress may occur not only as a result of nonspecific oxidation of the plasma membrane phospholipids; it may result from the direct activation of ion-permeable channels by ROS species (Demidchik et al., 2010). The activity of antioxidant enzymes has been reported to increase under saline conditions in the case of salt tolerant cotton (Meloni et al., 2003) and pea (Hernandez et al., 1999). One of the responses of plants to salinity stress is to accumulate proline, and this has been shown by many researchers. At the cellular level, accumulation of proline may regulate osmotic adjustment (Perez-Alfocea et al., 1993). Proline acts as a major supply of energy, which helps to improve salinity tolerance (Chandrasekhar and Sandhyarani, 1996). The present observation revealed that the increased level of cysteine mitigates toxicity imposed by salt stress. P application minimized the synthesis of cysteine that suggested P may prevent the excessive uptake of ions. The antioxidant property of NP-SH depends on the oxidation of -SH group of the tripeptide to disulfide form (Noctor and Foyer, 1998; Sanita di Toppi and Gabbrielli, 1999). The increased level of NP-SH may also be due to stimulation of sulphate reduction pathway such as APS reductase and serine acetyltransferase (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). P supply reduced the level of NP-SH in salt stressed plants. Hence, P may act as ameliorative agent when plants were treated with saline water.

Conclusion

In the view of this experiment, it can be concluded that growth, physiological and biochemical parameters of radish plants alter due to salt stress. Observations of this experiment depicted that P treatment can overcome the detrimental impact of salinity, especially in Phosphorus deficient soil and enhances tolerance against salt stress.

Acknowledgement

The authors are highly grateful to Department of Botany, University of Lucknow (India) for providing lab facilities.

References

1. Shahid et al., Differential response of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genotypes to salt stress in relation to the growth, physiological attributes antioxidant activity and organic solutes. *Australian journal of crop science.*, 2012, 6(5), 828-838.
2. Allakhverdiev SI, Sakamoto A, Nishijama Y, Inaba M, Murata N, Ionic and osmotic effects of NaCl induced inactivation of photosystems I and II in *Synechococcus* sp. *Plant Physiol.*, 2000, 123, 1047-1056.
3. Siringam K, Juntawong N, Cha-um S, Boriboonkaset T, Kirdmanee C. Salt tolerance enhancement in *indica* rice (*Oryza sativa* L. spp. *indica*) seedlings using exogenous sucrose supplementation. *Plant Omics J.*, 2012, 5, 52-59.
4. Yildirim E, Karlidag H, Turan M. Mitigation of salt stress in strawberry by foliar K, Ca and Mg nutrient supply. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 2009, 55,213-221.
5. Qin J, Dong WY, He KN, Yu Y, Tan GD, Han L, Dong M, Zhang YY, Zhang D, Li ZA, Wang ZL. NaCl salinity-induced changes in water status, ion contents and photosynthetic properties of *Shepherdia argentea* (Pursh) Nutt. seedlings. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 2010, 56,325-332.
6. CSSRI, Annual report, Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal, India. 2010.
7. Maeda Y, Nakazawa R. Effects of the timing of calcium application on the alleviation of salt stress in the maize, tall fescue, and reed canary grass seedlings. *Biol Plant.*, 2008, 52,153-156.
8. Yang CW, Wang P, Li CY, Shi DC, Wang DL. Comparison of effects of salt and alkali stresses on the growth and photosynthesis of wheat. *Photosynthetica.*, 2008, 46,107-114.
9. Dogan M, Tipirdamaz R, Demir Y. Salt resistance of tomato species grown in sand culture. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 2010, 56, 499-507.
10. Murphy KST, Durako MJ. Physiological effects of short term salinity changes on *Ruppia maritima*. *Aquat Bot.*, 2003, 75, 293-309.
11. Lloyd J, Kriedmann PE, Aspinall D. Comparative sensitivity of Prior Lisbon lemon and Valencia orange trees to foliar sodium and

- chloride concentrations. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 1989 12, 529-540.
12. Grattan SR, Grieve CM. Mineral element acquisition and growth response of plants grown in saline environment. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.*, 1992, 38:275-300.
 13. Misra AN, Sahu SM, Misra M, Singh P, Meera I, Das N, Kar M, Shau P. Sodium chloride induced changes in leaf growth, and pigment and protein contents in two rice cultivars. *Biol Plant.*, 1997, 39, 257-262.
 14. Namjooyan S, Khavari-Nejad R, Bernard F, Namdjooyan S, Piri H. The effect of cadmium on growth and antioxidant responses in the safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) callus. *Turk J Agric.*, 2012, 36: 145-152.
 15. Pinheiro HA, Silva JV, Endres L. *et al.*, Leaf gas exchange, chloroplastic pigments and dry matter accumulation in castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.) seedlings subjected to salt stress conditions. *Ind. Crop. Prod.*, 2008, 27, 385-392.
 16. Li T, Zhang Y, Liu H. *et al.*, Stable expression of *Arabidopsis* vacuolar Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter gene *AtNHX1* and salt tolerance in transgenic soybean for over six generations. *Chinese Sci. Bull.*, 2010, 55, 1127-1134.
 17. Yang JY, Zheng W, Tian Y. *et al.*, Effects of various mixed salt-alkaline stresses on growth, photosynthesis, and photosynthetic pigment concentrations of *Medicago ruthenica* seedlings. *Photosynthetica.*, 2011, 49, 275-284.
 18. Shahid MA, Pervez MA, Balal RM, Mattson NS, Rashid A, Ahmad R, Ayyub CM, Abbas T. Brassinosteroid (24-epibrassinolide) enhances growth and alleviates the deleterious effects induced by salt stress in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Aust J Crop Sci.*, 2011, 5, 500-510.
 19. Ashraf M, Haris PJC. Potential Biochemical indicators of salinity tolerance in plants. *Plant Sci.*, 2004, 166, 3-16.
 20. Bartels D, Sunkar R. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. *Crit Rev Plant Sci.*, 2005, 24: 23-58.
 21. Sairam RK, Tyagi A, Chinnusamy V. Salinity tolerance: cellular mechanisms and gene regulation. In: Plant-Environment Interactions (Ed. B Huang). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 2006, 121-175.
 22. Sharpley AN, Meisinger JJ, Power JF and Suarez DL, Root extraction of nutrients associated with long-term soil management, pp. 151-217. In: B. Stewart (ed.), Advances in Soil Science. 1992, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
 23. Grattan SR and C.M. Grieve, Mineral nutrient acquisition and response by plants grown in saline environments, pp. 203-226. In: M. Pessarakli (ed.), Handbook of Plant and Crop Stress. 1994, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, N.Y.
 24. Arnon DL. A copper enzyme is isolated chloroplast polyphenol oxidase in *Beta Vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol.*, 1949, 24, 1-15.
 25. Lichtenthaler HK. Chlorophyll and carotenoids; Pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. *Methods in Enzymology.*, 1987, 148, 350-385.
 26. Heath RL and Packer L. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts I Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. *Arch Biochem Biophys.*, 1968, 125, 189-198.
 27. Sullivan CY and Ross WM. Screening for drought and heat resistance in grain sorghum. In: H. Mussell & R. C. Staples (Eds), Stress physiology of crop plants, Wiley Interscience, New York. 1979, 263-281.
 28. Luck H. Catalase. In Methods of Enzymatic Analysis. H. U. Bergmeyer, Ed., 1963, 885-888. Academic Press, New York.
 29. Euler H and Von and K. Josephson. *Liebige Ann.*, 1927, 452, 158.
 30. Beauchamp, C. and Fridovich, I. Superoxide dismutase improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. *Anal. Biochem.*, 1971, 44, 276-287.
 31. Ellman G. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1959, 8, 82-70.
 32. Gaitonde MK. A spectrophotometric method for the direct determination of cysteine in the presence of other naturally occurring amino acids', *Biochem. J.*, 1967, 104, 627-633.
 33. Bates LS, RP Waldren and Teare ID. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant Soil.*, 1973, 39, 205-208.
 34. Ephron D, Toussaint ML, Badot PM. Effects of sodium chloride salinity on root growth and respiration in oak seedlings. *Annal For Sci.*, 1999, 56, 41-47.
 35. Parida AK and Das AB. Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental safety.*, 2005, 60, 324-349.

36. Yasseen BT. An analysis of the effects of salinity on leaf growth in Mexican wheats. Ph.D Thesis, University of Leeds. 1983
37. Akram NA, Ashraf M. Improvement in growth, chlorophyll pigments and photosynthetic performance in salt-stressed plants of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) by foliar application of 5-aminolevulinic acid. *Agrochimica.*, 2011, 55, 94-104.
38. Mundree SG, Baker B, Mowla S, Peters S, Marais S, Vander Willigen C, Govender K, Maredza A, Muyanga S, Farran JM, Thomson JA. Physiological and molecular insights into drought tolerance. *Afr J Biotechn.*, 2002, 1, 28-38.
39. Tijen D and Ismail T. Comparative lipid peroxidation, antioxidant defense systems and praline content in roots of two rice cultivars differing in salt tolerance. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 2005, 53, 247-257.
40. Demiral T, Turkan I. Does exogenous glycinebetaine affect antioxidative system of rice seedlings under NaCl treatment? *J Plant Physiol.*, 2004, 161, 1089-1100.
41. Shalata A, Mittova V, Volokita M, Guy M, Tal M. Response of the cultivated tomato and its wild salt-tolerant relative *Lycopersicon pennellii* to salt-dependent oxidative stress: the root antioxidative system. *Physiol Plant.*, 2001, 112, 487-494.
42. Sekmen AH, Turkan I, Tanyolac ZO, Ozfidan C, Dinc A. Different antioxidant defense responses to salt stress during germination and vegetative stages of endemic halophyte *Gypsophila oblongeolata* Bark. *Environ Exp Bot.*, 2012, 77, 63-76.
43. Balal RM, Khan MM, Shahid MA, Mattson NS, Abbas T, Ashfaq M, Garcia-Sanchez F, Ghazanfer U, Gimeno V, Iqbal Z Comparative studies on the physiobiochemical, enzymatic, and ionic modifications in salt tolerant and salt sensitive Citrus rootstocks under NaCl stress. *J Am Soc Hor Sci.*, 2012, 137, 1-10.
44. Kaya C, Kirnak H, Higgs D. Enhancement of growth and normal growth parameters by foliar application of potassium and phosphorus in tomato cultivars grown at high (NaCl) salinity. *J Plant Nutr.*, 2001, 24, 357-367.
45. Demidchik V, Cuin TA, Svistunenko D, Smith SJ, Miller AJ, Shabala S, Sokolik A, Yurin V (Arabidopsis root K⁺-efflux conductance activated by hydroxyl radicals: single-channel properties, genetic basis and involvement in stress-induced cell death. *J Cell Sci.*, 2010, 123, 1468-1479.
46. Meloni DA, Oliva MA, Martinez CA, Cambraia J. Photosynthesis and activity of superoxide dismutase, peroxidase and glutathione reductase in cotton under salt stress. *Environ Exp Bot.*, 2003, 49, 69-76.
47. Hernandez JA, Campillo A, Jimenez A, Alacon JJ, Sevilla F. Response of antioxidant systems and leaf water relations to NaCl stress in pea plants. *New Phytol.*, 1999, 141, 241-251.
48. Perez-Alfocea F, Estan MT, Caro M, Guerrier G. Osmotic adjustment in *Lycopersicon esculentum* and *L. pennellii* under NaCl and polyethylene glycol 6000 iso-osmotic stress. *Physiol Plant.*, 1993, 87, 493-498
49. Chandrasekhar KR, Sandhyarani S. Salinity induced chemical changes in *Crotalaria striata* DC. *Ind J Plant Physiol.*, 1996, 1, 44-48.
50. Noctor G. and Foyer CH. Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.*, 1998, 49, 249-279.
51. Sanita, di Toppi, L. and Gabbrielli, R. Response to cadmium in higher plants. *Envir. Exp. Bot.*, 1999, 41, 105-130.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None Declared